

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/054,643	04/03/98	MARESH		J	
Γ_			٦	EXAMINER	
1ARK A KRULL		QM32/0314		CROW,S	
1705 EAST RID	GE CT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NORTHFIELD MN				3764	6
				DATE MAILED: 03/14/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/054,643

Applicant(s)

Maresh

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Group Art Unit 3764

	S. Crow	3764	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 27, 2000			·
★ This action is FINAL.			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,		n as to the me	rits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is a is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fai application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ext 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ure to respond within the period	for response v	will cause the
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are p	ending in the a	application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are wi	thdrawn from	consideration.
Claim(s)	is	/are allowed.	
	is	/are rejected.	
Claim(s)	is	/are objected t	0.
☐ Claims	are subject to restricti	on or election (requirement.
received.	bjected to by the Examiner. 7, 2000 is Xapproved C er. prity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(a) es of the priority documents have		-
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial			
<pre>received in this national stage application from *Certified copies not received:</pre>			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p			<u></u> •
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pap □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 			
SEE OFFICE ACTION	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES		

Application/Control Number: 09/054643

Art Unit: 3764

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 5735774.
 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of their common disclosures.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 5935046.

 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of their common disclosures.

Application/Control Number: 09/054643

Art Unit: 3764

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of

time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date

of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Steven Crow whose telephone number is (703) 308-3398.

STEPHEN R. CROW PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3

ART UNIT 332